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A Shifting Market

Since 2019, the commercial insurance sector has been grappling with a hard marketplace—one that is particularly less friendly to insurance buyers. Such challenging conditions were brought on by a confluence of factors that led insurance companies to reevaluate their positions in the industry. After all, the increased frequency and severity of claims, growing social inflation issues, lasting complications created by the COVID-19 pandemic, evolving cyberthreats and worsening natural disasters have fundamentally reshaped the insurance market. As a result, hardened conditions have pressed on for multiple years, prompting limited capacity, stricter underwriting standards and rising premiums across many lines of commercial coverage.

Like many other sectors of the economy, the insurance industry experienced further changes to both its market cycles and operating procedures in the last 12 months. Specifically, in contrast to recent years, hard market conditions somewhat started to ease. This stabilization became especially evident in the second half of 2022—highlighted by decelerated pricing and expanded capacity within several coverage segments. While this shift certainly represents signs of an improving insurance landscape, industry experts have asserted that ongoing headwinds facing certain lines of coverage (e.g., commercial property, auto and cyber) have continued to generate hardened conditions overall, therefore limiting the likelihood of a soft market emerging in the near future.

What's more, businesses have had to contend with a host of new and existing challenges over the past year. In particular, 2022 marked the third year of the pandemic, which has remained a driving factor in various workplace adjustments and associated operational difficulties. Additionally, 2022 saw an acceleration of ongoing supply chain disruptions and labor shortages for businesses of all sizes and sectors. Further complicating matters, record-setting inflation trends, the growing possibility of a recession and large-scale international events—namely, the Russia-Ukraine conflict—have only exacerbated commercial exposures. Altogether, these factors will likely continue to fuel an increase in claims and related costs, posing persistent coverage concerns.

With this in mind, industry experts anticipate that the commercial insurance space will remain challenging in 2023, although it may present more favorable conditions than it has in prior years for some insurance buyers. In any case, it's essential for businesses to take a proactive approach to bolster their risk management efforts and secure adequate coverage during this time. Especially amid an evolving risk environment, businesses should focus on addressing the factors they can control.

In order for business owners like you to successfully navigate the constantly evolving commercial insurance market, it's important to consult insurance professionals who understand your business, help you plan for unique risks and advocate on your behalf. You need insurance professionals who can tell your story to insurance carriers in a way that will best position your business come renewal time. You also need to work with insurance professionals who know the dynamics of the current insurance market cycle and how to navigate a hard market successfully. Additionally, you need insurance professionals who fully comprehend your industry and how to provide targeted loss control solutions.

Remember, in these challenging times, McDermottCosta Insurance Co. is here to provide the insurance guidance and expertise your business needs.
The Insurance Market Cycle: Hard Versus Soft Markets

The commercial insurance market is cyclical in nature, fluctuating between hard and soft markets. These cycles affect the availability, terms and price of commercial insurance, so it’s helpful to know what to expect in both a hard and soft insurance market.

A soft market, which is sometimes called a buyer’s market, is characterized by stable or even lowering premiums, broader terms of coverage, increased capacity, higher available limits of liability, easier access to excess layers of liability and competition among insurance carriers for new business. On the other hand, a hard market, sometimes called a seller’s market, is characterized by increased premium costs for insureds, stricter underwriting criteria, less capacity, restricted terms of coverage and less competition among insurance carriers for new business.

During a hard market, some businesses may receive conditional or nonrenewal notices from their insurance carrier. What’s more, during hard market cycles, insurance carriers are more likely to exit certain unprofitable lines of insurance.

In what was one of the longest soft markets in recent years, businesses across most lines of insurance enjoyed stable premiums and expanded terms of coverage for decades. While the commercial insurance market hardened for a short period of time after the terrorist attacks of Sept. 11, 2001, the last sustained hard market occurred in the 1980s. However, after years of gradual changes, the market has largely firmed since 2019, leading to increased premiums and reduced capacity.

Many factors affect insurance pricing, but the following are some of the most common contributors to the hard market:

- **Catastrophic (CAT) losses**—Floods, hurricanes, wildfires and other natural disasters are increasingly common and devastating. Years of costly disasters like these have compounded losses for insurers, driving up the cost of coverage overall, especially when it comes to commercial property policies.

- **Inconsistent underwriting profits**—Underwriting profits refer to the difference between the premiums an insurer collects and the money it pays out in claims and expenses. When an insurance company collects more in premiums than it pays out in claims and expenses, it will earn an underwriting profit. Conversely, an insurance company that pays more in claims and expenses than
it collects in premiums will sustain an underwriting loss. The company’s combined ratio after dividends is a measure of underwriting profitability. This ratio reflects the percentage of each premium dollar an insurance company puts toward spending on claims and expenses. A combined ratio above 100 indicates an underwriting loss.

### Combined Ratio for Property and Casualty Insurance, 1979-2021

- **Mixed investment returns**—Insurance companies also generate income through investments. Commercial insurance companies typically invest in various stocks, bonds, mortgages and real estate investments. Due to regulations, insurance companies invest significantly in bonds. These provide stability against underwriting results, which can vary from year to year. When interest rates are high and returns from other investments are solid, insurance companies can make up underwriting losses through their investment income. But when interest rates are low, insurers must pay close attention to their underwriting standards and other investment returns.

### Operating Results for Property and Casualty Insurance, 2010-2021 (Billions)

- **The economy**—The economy as a whole also affects an insurance company’s ability to write new policies. During periods of economic downturn and uncertainty, some businesses may purchase less coverage or forgo insurance altogether. A business’s revenue and payroll, which factor into how...
premiums are set, may decline. This creates an environment where there is less premium income for insurers.

- **The inflation factor**—Prolonged periods of inflation can make it challenging for insurance carriers to maintain coverage pricing and subsequently keep pace with more volatile loss trends. Unanticipated increases in loss expenses can result in higher incurred loss ratios for insurance carriers, particularly as inflation affects key cost factors (e.g., medical care, litigation and construction expenses).

- **The cost of reinsurance**—Generally speaking, reinsurance is insurance for insurance companies. Carriers often buy reinsurance for risks they can’t or don’t wish to retain fully. It’s a way for insurers to protect against extraordinary losses. As a result, reinsurance helps stabilize premiums for regular businesses by making it less of a risk for insurance carriers to write a policy. However, reinsurers are exposed to many of the same events and trends affecting insurance companies and make pricing adjustments of their own.

### Additional Factors Influencing Insurance Rates

In addition to the above, here are other key factors that may influence your insurance rates:

- **The coverage you’re seeking**—The forms of insurance you’re seeking, as well as the details of such coverage (e.g., limits of liability and value of the insured property), will affect your insurance pricing.

- **The size of your business**—As a general rule, the more employees your business has and the larger your revenue is, the more you will pay for your insurance.

- **The industry in which you operate**—Certain industries carry more risk than others. In general, businesses in these sectors are more likely to file insurance claims. As a result, businesses involved in risky industries tend to, on average, pay more in insurance premiums.

- **The location of your business**—The location of your business will also influence your insurance rates. If your business is located in an area prone to certain natural disasters, insurers may determine that your facility is more at risk for property damage. This increased risk will translate to higher premiums.

- **Your claims history**—Your business’s claims history, often referred to as loss history, will also have an impact on insurance rates. If your business has an extensive claims history, then insurance carriers will tend to consider your company more likely to file future claims. In turn, this means that your business will be viewed as risky to insure, subjecting you to higher commercial insurance premiums.
Your risk management practices—Now more than ever, conducting a careful assessment of your business’s unique exposures and establishing effective, well-documented risk management practices can make your establishment more attractive to insurance carriers. After all, having a robust risk management program in place reduces the likelihood of costly claims occurring and minimizes the potential losses your business could experience from an unexpected event.

As a whole, during a hard market, insurance buyers may face complex considerations regarding their coverage. Thankfully, businesses are not without recourse in the face of a hard market. Business owners who proactively address risk losses and manage exposures will be better prepared for a hardening market than those who do not. Furthermore, those who educate themselves on the trends that influence their insurance will better understand what can be done to manage their associated costs.
Trends to Watch in 2023

Insurance experts often examine how outside influences and trends affect the insurance marketplace, and businesses should follow suit to determine what factors may impact their insurance coverage. For 2023, there are a number of sweeping market developments to consider.

Labor Shortages

The last few years have seen widespread labor shortages. According to a recent survey conducted by financial services company Provident Bank, 75% of businesses have been affected by current worker shortages. Although these shortages are impacting businesses across industry lines, data from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) confirmed that the industries experiencing the most substantial workforce struggles include transportation, health care, leisure and hospitality.

Several factors have contributed to these labor shortages. Primarily, the lasting ramifications of the COVID-19 pandemic have motivated many workers to reevaluate their employment priorities. In particular, a growing number of employees have sought arrangements (e.g., greater work-life balance, higher pay, more expansive benefits, flexible hours and remote capabilities) that allow them to better manage personal caregiving responsibilities, boost professional development, prevent burnout and minimize ongoing health and safety risks.

As such, various workforce movements emerged between 2021 and 2022, including the Great Resignation and the Great Reshuffle. The former, which occurred throughout 2021, was characterized by a high proportion of workers reassessing their job arrangements and opting to voluntarily exit the labor market altogether—whether this entailed retiring early or finding new business ventures. Amid this movement, BLS data showed that 4 million Americans quit their positions each month in the back half of 2021. The latter movement, which took place in 2022, consisted of a large share of workers leaving their jobs in search of more fulfilling roles, such as positions in different career paths or those in the same industry with more competitive compensation and benefits. In fact, according to the Provident Bank survey, more than two-thirds (69%) of businesses had job candidates decline opportunities because of better offers this past year.

Regardless of how the pandemic may shift and evolve, many individuals have permanently altered their job expectations and workplace priorities, placing new demands on employers. As a result, economists expect labor shortages to continue throughout 2023 and beyond—impacting businesses for the foreseeable future. Specifically, these shortages could contribute to overworked employees; diminished staff morale and well-being; reduced productivity and project delays; widespread skills gaps and associated project quality concerns (e.g., product liability issues); and increased workplace accidents and related injuries and property damage.

To help combat labor shortages, many businesses have adjusted their hiring and retention tactics. For example, the Provident Bank survey found that one-third (33%) of businesses recently revised their job perks and benefits (e.g., expanded tuition assistance, more paid time off, additional caregiving reimbursements, improved 401k offerings, and higher salaries and sign-on bonuses) to retain current employees and attract new talent, while more than half (57%) implemented new work-from-home or hybrid arrangements. Moving forward, businesses will need to remain innovative in meeting their employees’ shifting expectations and attracting talent.
Supply Chain Disruptions
Since the onset of the pandemic, a range of supply chain disruptions have taken place. The majority of these issues originally stemmed from increased demand for various items and materials amid a slowdown in production and a subsequent lack of availability during pandemic-related closures. However, even though businesses have resumed their normal operations and increased production levels, consumer demand for certain items and materials continues to outweigh inventory. Creating further supply chain bottlenecks, various international disruptions (e.g., congestion at global ports and geopolitical conflict), rising fuel and energy costs, extreme weather events, and an ongoing shortage of warehouse workers and truck drivers have slowed shipment and delivery times for high-demand goods.

These supply chain disruptions have impacted a number of industries. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the sectors that have experienced the greatest supply chain difficulties include manufacturing, construction and retail. Additionally, a recent survey conducted by international software company SAP found that at least half of business leaders have experienced financial impacts stemming from supply chain bottlenecks since the start of the pandemic—including decreased revenue (58%), a greater need to leverage business loans (54%) and an inability to pay employees (50%). In response to these financial struggles, 61% of business leaders have had to implement wage or recruitment freezes, 50% have made staff reductions and 41% have increased the prices of their products or services.

Some economic experts believe these supply chain issues will continue into the summer of 2023 before eventually subsiding. With this in mind, it’s important for businesses of all sectors to prepare for potential supply chain disruptions in the months ahead. According to the SAP survey, some examples of steps that business leaders plan to take include adopting new supply chain technology (74%), introducing updated contingency plans (67%), prioritizing U.S.-based supply chain solutions (60%) and searching for more eco-friendly options (58%). Implementing such measures could make all the difference in remaining operational amid possible disruptions.

Inflation Issues
Over the past few years, the culmination of widespread labor shortages and supply chain issues has largely contributed to rising inflation concerns in the commercial insurance space. Yet, 2022 was a particularly troubling year for inflation, as evidenced by a surging consumer price index (CPI). According to BLS data, the CPI for all urban consumers increased by 9.1% year over year in June 2022, reaching a 40-year high. While the CPI cooled off in the following months, it still remained near record-setting levels, sitting at a 7.7% year-over-year increase in October 2022. Altogether, the elevated CPI has driven up claim costs for several lines of commercial coverage, therefore inflating total loss expenses across the property and casualty markets.

Within the property insurance space, the costs to repair, replace or rebuild structures and their contents after losses have soared, prompted by increased labor and material expenses. In fact, BLS data revealed a substantial year-over-year increase in the CPI for a number of property-related elements in October 2022—including floor coverings (12.8%), window coverings (3.7%), and furniture and bedding (8.3%). In the auto insurance market, vehicle repair expenses and subsequent accident costs have also surged, brought on by supply chain disruptions for several critical vehicle parts (and vehicles overall). These concerns were reflected in an increased year-over-year CPI in October 2022 for new vehicles (8.4%), used cars and trucks (2%), and motor vehicle maintenance (10.3%), according to BLS data.
Apart from rising CPI concerns, the workers’ compensation and liability insurance segments are also being affected by other forms of inflation—namely, medical and wage inflation. Medical inflation refers to increasing prices for health care necessities. These prices are usually determined a year in advance based on projections by Medicare and private insurance contracts. Since these projections occurred before the CPI skyrocketed, medical inflation has remained fairly low in comparison to overall inflation trends. As such, elevated liability claims and coverage costs stemming from medical inflation are expected in the year ahead.

Wage inflation, on the other hand, refers to workers’ increasing salaries. Amid continued labor challenges, many businesses have responded by boosting their workers’ pay, contributing to wage inflation. Because payroll is leveraged as an exposure base to calculate workers’ compensation premiums, wage inflation could prompt increased rates in this space. Further, such inflation may increase the risk of payroll miscalculations and create short-term disconnects between wages, benefits and workers’ compensation premiums. Most states have an index for wage inflation to make sure premiums and benefits keep up with each other, but it’s still possible for errors to occur.

To help curb overall inflation concerns, the Federal Reserve (Fed) has steadily been hiking up interest rates in recent months. Moving into 2023, economic analysts predict that the Fed’s efforts will eventually pay off, with inflation slowly subsiding throughout the year. According to investment banking company Goldman Sachs, the core price consumption expenditures index (CPE)—an inflation calculation utilized by the Fed that excludes food and energy prices—is currently at 5.1% but expected to drop to 2.9% by the end of 2023 (for reference, the Fed targets a CPE of 2% in a healthy economy). In the meantime, however, insurance carriers will continue to face inflation-related challenges as it pertains to maintaining coverage pricing to keep up with more volatile loss trends. Nevertheless, it’s important to note the insurance industry as a whole is better positioned to incur losses to its reserves than it was in previous periods of prolonged inflation in U.S. history (i.e., the 1980s).

**Recession Risks**

Some economic experts have forecasted that rising interest rates and prolonged labor market challenges could lead to a potential recession—a prolonged and pervasive reduction in economic activity—throughout the United States in the next six to nine months. Specifically, a recession will
become increasingly likely in 2023 if the Fed has to continue raising its terminal policy rate—the level at which it will no longer boost interest rates—higher than initial estimates (5%-5.5%) to adequately mitigate inflation.

Amid a recession, businesses of all sizes and sectors usually experience decreased sales and profits stemming from changing consumer behaviors. Such an economic downturn may also limit organizations’ credit capabilities and reduce their overall cash flow as customers take more time to pay for products and services. As a result, when a recession occurs, businesses without substantial revenues, excess reserves and the additional capital necessary to offset extended periods of loss are more likely to have to make difficult financial decisions to avoid issues such as insolvency or bankruptcy. These businesses may need to cut operational costs and consider staff reductions to stay afloat.

The U.S. Census Bureau reported that nearly 1.8 million businesses closed their doors during the last major U.S. economic downturn, known as the Great Recession, which took place between 2007 and 2009. Looking ahead, a recent survey conducted by global professional services network KPMG found that the majority (86%) of business executives fear a recession will take place in the next 12 months. Fortunately, 60% of such executives anticipate this recession to be mild and brief. Regardless, now is the time for businesses to prepare for an economic downturn. According to the KPMG survey, more than 75% of executives confirmed their businesses already have recession-proofing measures in place. These measures may include establishing concrete financial plans to maintain profits, scaling back certain operations, promoting steady cash flow with shorter payment terms for customers, ensuring proper debt management, fostering strong connections with stakeholders and leveraging effective marketing strategies. Above all, it’s important for businesses to maintain ample coverage in a recession and secure financial protection against possible losses, as commercial risks tend to rise during such a downturn.

Social Inflation Concerns
In general, social inflation refers to societal trends that influence the ever-rising costs of insurance claims and lawsuits above the overall inflation rate. As the commercial insurance market shifts, it’s important to understand what’s currently driving social inflation.

Third-party Litigation Funding
One of the factors driving social inflation has to do with increased litigation or, more specifically, third-party litigation funding (TPLF). Such funding refers to when a third party provides financing for a lawsuit. In exchange, the third party receives a portion of the settlement. In the past, the steep cost of attorney fees would often scare plaintiffs away from taking a lawsuit to trial. But, through TPLF, most or all of the costs associated with litigation are covered by a third party, which has increased the volume of cases being pursued. Not only is TPLF becoming more common, but it also increases the cost of litigation, sometimes to seven figures. This is because plaintiffs can take cases further and seek larger settlements.

Tort Reform
Tort reform refers to laws that are designed to reduce litigation. In particular, tort reforms are used to prevent frivolous lawsuits and preserve laws that prevent abusive practices against businesses. Many states have enacted tort reforms over the last several decades, leading to fewer claims and caps on punitive damages. However, in recent years, a number of states have modified tort reforms or challenged them as unconstitutional. Opponents believe tort reforms lower settlements to the point where attorneys are less likely to take on new cases and help victims get justice for their injuries or other damages.
Further complicating matters, tort reform is subject to uncertainty, as it’s largely tied to political leanings and the interests of individual states. Should tort reform continue to erode, there could be fewer restrictions on punitive and noneconomic damages, statutes of limitations and contingency fees—all of which can drive up the cost of claims and exacerbate social inflation.

**Plaintiff-friendly Legal Decisions and Large Jury Rewards**

The overall public sentiment toward large businesses and corporations is deteriorating, and anti-corporate culture is more prevalent than ever. A number of factors are contributing to this increasing distrust, including the highly publicized issues related to the mishandling of personal data and social campaigns. This has had a considerable impact on how businesses are perceived by a jury in court, and organizations are held to a high standard for issues related to the way they conduct their business. In fact, juries are increasingly likely to sympathize with plaintiffs, especially if a business’s reputation has been tarnished in some way in the past. As a result, plaintiff attorneys are likely to play to a jury’s emotions rather than the facts of the case.

Compounding this issue, there’s an increasing public perception that businesses—particularly large ones—can afford the cost of any damages. This means juries are likely to have fewer reservations when it comes to awarding damages. In the current environment, nuclear verdicts (awards of $10 million or more) have become more common.

**Extreme Weather Events**

Extreme weather events—such as hurricanes, tornadoes, hailstorms and wildfires—continue to make headlines as they become increasingly devastating and costly. Making matters worse, these events aren’t limited to one geographic area or weather event, impacting businesses across the United States.

**U.S. 2022 Billion-Dollar Weather and Climate Disasters**

- **Severe Weather**
  - Southern & Central Severe Weather
  - Southern Severe Weather
  - Central Severe Weather
  - North Central & Eastern
  - Central Derecho
- **Tornadoes**
  - Southern
  - Southeastern
- **Hailstorms**
  - North Central
- **Wildfires**
  - Western
  - Spring Fall
- **Droughts**
  - Drought & Heat Wave
- **Hurricanes**
  - Hurricane Ian
  - Hurricane Maria

Source: NOAA
According to data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), wildfires again plagued the West Coast in 2022, recording a year-end total of more than 61,300 wildfires and burning 7.25 million acres. Widespread drought and several heat waves in the Western and Central United States contributed to the depletion of multiple large reservoirs (e.g., Lake Mead, Lake Powell, Lake Oroville and Shasta Lake), causing over 100 fatalities and cost at least $9 billion in damages. High winds and hundreds of tornadoes wreaked havoc across various Central, Southern and Eastern states, resulting in more than $10 billion in damages. A series of large-scale hailstorms—some of which even produced golf ball-sized hail—and a powerful derecho impacted states across the Midwest, contributing to more than $5 billion in damages. On the East Coast, the 2022 hurricane season recorded 14 storms, causing more than 200 fatalities, costing at least $110 billion in damages and affecting multiple states along the Atlantic Ocean.

One of the most devastating weather events from this past year was Hurricane Ian. According to the NOAA, this storm made landfall near Cayo Costa, Florida, in late September as a Category 4 hurricane, recording sustained winds of 150 mph. The storm traveled across the state’s barrier islands of Captiva, Sanibel, Pine and Fort Meyers Beach before moving to the inland communities of Orange, Volusia, Seminole and Brevard. Altogether, the storm resulted in severe property damage and widespread flooding throughout Florida, causing 10-20 inches of total rainfall in many areas. In the following days, the storm reemerged as a Category 1 hurricane, making landfall with sustained winds of 85 mph in Georgetown, South Carolina. The storm then produced significant coastal flood damage and destroyed several large piers along Myrtle Beach. As a whole, Hurricane Ian led to 131 fatalities and is expected to cost more than $100 billion in total damages.

Another notable weather event from 2022 was the historic inland flooding throughout Kentucky and Missouri. According to the NOAA, major flooding arising from a stalled frontal system in late July damaged thousands of residential and commercial properties, vehicles and other infrastructure across both states. Some communities recorded 10-12 inches of total rainfall, setting new flash flood records and requiring more than 600 helicopter rescues to evacuate individuals trapped by rising waters. Overall, such flooding caused 42 fatalities and cost more than $1 billion in damages.

Many weather experts believe severe storms, extreme temperatures, wildfires and flooding are the new norm. As these catastrophes become more frequent, the insurance industry will need to adopt innovative solutions to keep up with weather-related losses. Moving forward, businesses can expect to encounter additional emphasis on weather readiness from insurers.

**Geopolitical Conflicts**

This past year saw the emergence of severe international disruptions, particularly those relating to the ongoing Russia-Ukraine conflict. These types of global events have had far-reaching impacts, prompting new tariffs, export restrictions, economic sanctions, and subsequent surging fuel and energy costs in many countries. Further, such events have exacerbated existing inventory backlogs, material shortages and supply chain issues. Considering these developments, it’s no surprise that the latest industry research revealed more than one-fifth (21%) of businesses named war and terror as their top risk in 2022, up from 15% in 2021. As these events continue, businesses should prepare for potential disruptions and find ways to cut transportation costs by prioritizing fuel efficiency across their fleets, closely monitoring evolving global trade policies and considering domestic production solutions (e.g., switching from an international vendor or raw material to a U.S. alternative) to remain fully operational.
In addition to the previously mentioned impacts of international disruptions, these events have also led to heightened security concerns throughout 2022, including those related to nation-state cyberthreats. In response, a growing number of businesses have searched for insurance offerings that can help protect against potential cyberwarfare losses. Yet, securing adequate coverage for damages stemming from such warfare could prove particularly challenging, as war exclusions are commonly found in both commercial property and cyber insurance policies. Although these exclusions are fact-specific and often vary between policies and insurers, they generally state that damages from “hostile or warlike actions” by a nation-state or its agents won’t receive coverage. Such exclusions were created to help protect insurers against potentially systemic losses that may arise amid attacks by governments, their militaries or associated groups.

Over the years, some court cases have ruled in favor of policyholders seeking coverage under their commercial property or cyber insurance policies for damages resulting from cyberwarfare. These decisions are largely based on insurers failing to include clear language on digital warfare within their war exclusions. Following these rulings, insurers have made various adjustments to protect themselves from facing unanticipated claims and subsequent losses related to cyberwarfare. Primarily, insurers have become more apprehensive in selecting policyholders, utilizing extensive application processes and requiring insureds to provide detailed documentation on their cybersecurity practices. Furthermore, insurers are exploring ways to ensure their policy language—namely, the wording within war exclusions—provides clear and consistent guidelines for what is and isn’t covered, especially in the scope of digital warfare. As a result, it’s critical for insurers and insureds to openly communicate about policy definitions and specific coverage capabilities, especially regarding protection against digital warfare. Such communication will help ensure both parties are on the same page, minimizing potential issues when claims arise.
2023 Market Outlook Forecast Trends

Price forecasts are based on industry reports for individual lines of insurance. Forecasts are subject to change and are not a guarantee of premium rates. Insurance premiums are determined by a multitude of factors and differ between businesses. These forecasts should be viewed as general information, not insurance or legal advice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE OF COVERAGE</th>
<th>PRICE FORECAST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial property</td>
<td>CAT-free: +10% to +15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAT-exposed: +15% to +25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General liability</td>
<td>Overall: 0% to +10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial auto</td>
<td>Overall: +3% to +15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers’ compensation</td>
<td>Overall: -5% to +5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber</td>
<td>Overall: +25% to +100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directors and officers liability</td>
<td>Private/nonprofit entities: -10% to +7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public companies: -15% to +2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment practices liability</td>
<td>Overall: +10% to +15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Commercial Property Insurance

The past five years have seen the commercial property insurance market progressively harden, evidenced by consistent rate increases since the third quarter of 2017. However, according to industry data, such rate jumps showed some signs of stagnation in early 2022, with average increases staying within single digits. In any case, rates are still on the rise going into 2023. These unfavorable market conditions are the result of another intense season of natural disasters, persistent supply chain struggles and substantial inflation issues. Losses stemming from these trends have forced commercial property insurance carriers to continue elevating policyholders’ premium costs and implementing more restrictive coverage terms.

Premium Change for Commercial Property Insurance, 2013–Q3 2022

![Premium Change Graph]

Furthermore, some insureds are encountering above-average rate increases and lowered capacity—particularly those exposed to CAT perils (e.g., hurricanes and wildfires). Looking ahead, policyholders who conduct high-risk operations, have poor loss control practices or are located in natural disaster-prone areas will likely remain vulnerable to persistent rate hikes and coverage restrictions.

### 2023 Price Prediction:

- **CAT-free**: +10% to +15%
- **CAT-exposed**: +15% to +25%

### Developments and Trends to Watch

- **Natural disasters**—The surging frequency and severity of natural disasters have continued to pose concerns within the commercial property market, as these catastrophes often leave behind severe property losses for affected establishments. According to industry data, natural disasters cost the global economy $227 billion in 2022, with under half of those expenses ($99 billion) covered by insurers. This marks the third consecutive year in which natural disaster losses exceeded $100 billion. Breaking down this number, the National Centers for Environmental Information recorded 15 separate weather and climate events with losses exceeding $1 billion across the United States during 2022. Disasters such as the historic inland flooding in Missouri and Kentucky throughout the summer and Hurricane Ian striking Florida and South Carolina in the fall were particularly devastating. Additionally, the National Interagency Fire Center reported that more than 61,300
wildfires burned 7.25 million acres throughout the West Coast in 2022, representing the highest numbers recorded in the past decade. What’s worse, many climate experts estimate that natural disaster trends will continue to exacerbate property losses in the future.

- **Supply chain struggles**—Over the past few years, pandemic-related production and delivery bottlenecks, widespread labor deficits (especially within the transportation and construction sectors), extreme weather events and geopolitical conflicts have contributed to a range of supply chain struggles. This has caused significant project delays and driven up overall property construction costs. In fact, the National Association of Home Builders (NAHB) confirmed that the vast majority of builders (90%) experienced some type of material shortage in the last 12 months. For example, construction engineering company Mortenson reported that current lead times for many essential mechanical and electrical components of both commercial and residential buildings are at least several months, with some being more than one year.

Heading into 2023, industry experts anticipate that shipment barriers created by the Russia-Ukraine conflict and an increase in flood-related property damage could result in copper and drywall shortages, respectively. In light of these supply chain complications, businesses may face increased claims severity if losses require them to rebuild structures or replace property on slower schedules and at higher prices. Such complications are expected to subside between 2023 and 2024 but may still result in delayed recovery efforts and rising claims costs in the months ahead.

- **Inflation issues**—Apart from supply chain struggles and subsequent project delays elevating property construction costs, ongoing inflation issues are also responsible for increased building expenses and valuations. These issues have been brought on by a combination of fluctuating demand for various building materials, wage increases across the construction sector aimed at attracting and retaining skilled workers, and overall worsening economic conditions as the nation faces the possibility of an upcoming recession.

Taking a closer look at these trends, the Associated Builders and Contractors reported that construction input prices in July 2022 were up 17.4% from the same period in 2021, motivated by cost increases for a range of building materials. In particular, the cost of gypsum jumped by 20%, while the prices of exterior paint, steel products and softwood lumber rose by at least 10%. In addition, NAHB research shows that construction workers’ average hourly earnings increased by 12% between 2019 and 2021 and an additional 5% during 2022. Altogether, rising material and labor expenses contributed to a 14.1% increase in overall construction costs by the end of 2022, according to real estate company Coldwell Banker Richard Ellis (CBRE). This is the largest increase CBRE has recorded since it began conducting inflation calculations in 2007.

As inflation issues press on in 2023, businesses may not only face higher claims costs following property losses but could also encounter underinsurance concerns due to inaccurate property valuations. In other words, businesses that don’t update their property values to reflect current inflation trends could receive reduced payouts and coinsurance penalties amid property losses, resulting in larger out-of-pocket expenses.

- **Insurance-to-value (ITV) considerations**—An estimated 75% of commercial properties are underinsured by 40% or more, according to industry data. Especially as inflation issues continue to impact building expenses and valuations, insurance experts are encouraging businesses to be increasingly diligent in performing correct ITV calculations and maintaining ample commercial property coverage.
An accurate ITV calculation represents as close to an equal ratio as possible between the amount of insurance a business obtains and the estimated value of its commercial building or structure, thus ensuring adequate protection following property losses. Insurance experts recommend using the replacement value—an estimate of the current cost to replace or rebuild a property of a property—to conduct correct ITV calculations. The replacement value of a property depends on characteristics such as material and labor expenses, architect services, debris removal needs and building permit requirements. Common approaches to accurately estimating this value include getting a property appraisal from a third-party firm, leveraging fixed-asset records that have been adjusted for inflation or relying on a basic benchmarking tool (e.g., dollars per square foot). While appraisals often require more time and resources than other property valuation methods, they are largely deemed the most thorough and accurate.

- **Reinsurance capacity concerns**—Current natural disaster and inflation trends have proven particularly difficult for the commercial property reinsurance space to navigate. Specifically, as natural disasters become more frequent and severe and inflation reaches record-setting levels, reinsurers are facing a rise in claims, larger investment losses, diminished profitability and reduced capital. As a whole, these trends have generated some degree of market uncertainty and earnings volatility, motivating reinsurers to reevaluate whether their existing methods for pricing property CAT risks are effectively modeled. Consequently, some reinsurers have begun limiting the capacity for CAT exposures or eliminating capacity altogether. Although demand for reinsurance protection remains high, capacity will likely become further constrained in 2023, therefore impacting overall commercial property insurance rates—especially in the case of policyholders with CAT exposures. That is, while CAT-free insureds with favorable loss history may face rate increases of 10% or less, CAT insureds' unfavorable loss history could face rate hikes of 25% or higher.

**Tips for Insurance Buyers**

- Conduct a thorough inspection of your commercial property and the surrounding area for specific risk management concerns. Implement additional mitigation measures as needed.
- Work with insurance professionals to begin the renewal process early. Many commercial property insurers are seeing an increased submission volume. Timely, complete and quality submissions are vital to ensure your application will be reviewed by underwriters.
- Gather as much data as possible regarding your existing risk management techniques. Be sure to work with your insurance professionals to present loss control measures you have in place.
- Analyze your organization’s natural disaster exposures. If your commercial property is located in an area that is more prone to a specific type of catastrophe, implement mitigation and response measures that will protect your property as much as possible if such an event occurs (e.g., installing storm shutters on windows to protect against hurricane damages or utilizing fire-resistant roofing materials to protect against wildfire damages).
- Conduct accurate ITV calculations to remain fully protected when covered events occur and avoid potential coinsurance penalties.
- Develop a documented business continuity plan that will help your organization remain operational and minimize damages in the event of an interruption.
- Address insurance carrier recommendations. Insurers will be looking at your loss control initiatives closely. Taking the appropriate steps to reduce your risks whenever possible can make your business more attractive to underwriters.
General Liability Insurance

The general liability insurance segment has steadily underperformed over the past few years, generating minimal underwriting profitability due to rising claim frequency and severity. In response, insurance carriers have deployed tightened underwriting standards, reduced capacity and ongoing rate increases. However, carriers experienced slightly improved market results in 2022, paving the way for rate deceleration. According to industry data, rates continued to increase during 2022, although at a slower pace than in prior years.

Nevertheless, several concerning trends across the segment—including social inflation issues, active assailant exposures and rising medical expenses—still have the potential to threaten claim costs going forward and negatively impact overall market performance. As such, policyholders can expect yet another year of modest rate increases in 2023. Renewal results will likely depend on insureds’ exposures, class and loss history. Policyholders who operate in sectors with elevated general liability risks (e.g., real estate, construction, manufacturing, retail, hospitality and contracting) may be prone to larger rate jumps and more restrictive underwriting standards as well as difficulties obtaining higher coverage limits.

Developments and Trends to Watch

- **Social inflation issues**—The United States has become an increasingly litigious society over the last decade, resulting in businesses facing a growing number of lawsuits following liability incidents (actual or alleged) and, in turn, greater penalties from such legal action. This trend has also driven up social inflation issues. Currently, multiple factors contribute to social inflation within the liability market, including additional attorney advertising, TPLF, tort reform challenges and deteriorating public sentiment toward corporations. In particular, attorney advertising has grown progressively more widespread, spanning various mediums (e.g., television, print and social media) and highlighting opportunities to take legal action in a range of scenarios—thus promoting further litigation against businesses. According to the American Tort Reform Association, more than $6.8 billion has been spent on 77 million national and local attorney advertisements since 2017, showcasing the immensity of such advertising.

  Furthermore, media company Bloomberg estimates that the global TPLF industry, which permits third parties to invest in lawsuits by financing attorneys or their clients in exchange for a portion of any resulting settlements, is currently worth $39 billion—presenting more avenues for litigation against businesses. In fact, one of the top contributors in the TPLF industry has helped fund more than 200 lawsuits since 2016, according to the latest data. These factors have all contributed to a rise in nuclear verdicts as well. According to recent industry research, these trends have contributed to a more than 300% increase in the median value of major U.S. verdicts since 2014.

  Altogether, increased litigation and surging social inflation issues have largely contributed to elevated liability insurance claim costs. In some cases, such litigation has posed underinsurance concerns for businesses, leaving them with coverage gaps and substantial out-of-pocket expenses amid related claims.
• **Active assailant exposures**—An active assailant incident entails an individual or group of individuals entering a populated area to kill or attempt to kill their victims, generally through the use of firearms. These events—sometimes called active shooter incidents or mass shootings—have skyrocketed in the United States. According to the FBI, the number of active shooter incidents jumped by 96.8% between 2017 (31 incidents) and 2021 (61 incidents). These incidents have also grown in severity; 3 out of the 5 deadliest mass shootings in U.S. history occurred in the past decade. Active assailant incidents can carry numerous consequences. These incidents often result in fatalities, serious injuries and prolonged emotional trauma among those involved.

Additionally, such incidents can leave lasting impacts on the locations in which they occur—namely, commercial properties. Specifically, businesses that encounter active shooter incidents could face substantial recovery expenses, regulatory penalties and liability concerns. With these events on the rise, some businesses have started to more carefully evaluate their active assailant exposures, implement related risk management measures and create incident response plans.

• **Medical expense increases**—Coverage for medical costs arising from third-party injuries is a key element of general liability insurance. As a result, rising medical expenses have exacerbated claim costs across the market in recent years, with no signs of slowing for the foreseeable future. According to research from the Peterson Center on Healthcare and Kaiser Family Foundation, U.S. health spending has surged by more than 31-fold on a per capita basis over the last several decades, increasing from approximately $350 per person in 1970 to more than $11,500 per person today. This surge is tied to various factors, including increased prescription drug expenses, elevated treatment costs due to advancements in medical technology and evolving care methods, and rising wages among health care workers.

Making matters worse, widespread inflation concerns—evidenced by record-breaking CPI data over the past year—have and will continue to impact medical costs. According to the BLS, the medical care commodities and medical care services subcategories of the nation’s CPI respectively increased by 3.1% and 5.4% between October 2021 and October 2022, while the average price of health care increased by 5% in the same 12-month period. Because there is generally a lag between overall inflation trends and rising medical expenses, such costs are expected to progressively jump throughout 2023 and beyond—especially when combined with developments such as ongoing supply chain struggles for certain types of medical equipment and health care employees seeking higher salaries amid a challenging labor market. With this in mind, surging medical expenses will likely continue to play a major role in elevated general liability insurance claim costs in the future.

**Tips for Insurance Buyers**

• Work with your insurance broker to educate yourself on key market changes affecting your rates and how to respond using loss control measures. Ensure limits match up with your insurance needs.

• Ensure your establishment has measures in place to reduce the likelihood of customer or visitor injuries (e.g., maintaining safe walking surfaces and promoting proper housekeeping).

• Identify and address any completed operations liability exposures and mitigate any product liability exposures (if your organization makes or sells products).

• Create workplace policies and procedures aimed at minimizing active assailant exposures and establishing effective response protocols amid potential incidents.
Commercial Auto Insurance

The commercial auto insurance market has faced substantial underwriting losses and diminished profitability for more than a decade. In fact, a recent report from credit rating agency AM Best revealed that the segment has encountered more than $20 billion in total underwriting losses since 2011. These losses have occurred despite underwriters elevating commercial auto premiums for 45 consecutive quarters, dating back to the third quarter of 2011.

A multitude of factors has led to this poor underwriting performance, including social inflation and nuclear verdict concerns, surging accident frequency and severity, numerous road safety challenges and a widespread driver shortage. While the segment continues to face difficult market conditions, AM Best reported that rate increases started to slow down amid strengthening reserves and a combined ratio falling below 100 at the conclusion of 2021—the lowest ratio in more than 10 years. According to industry data, rate increases largely remained in the single digits in 2022, demonstrating signs of stagnation when compared to double-digital rate jumps that took place in prior years. This deceleration can be attributed to a reemergence of insurers that had previously been inactive in the market as well as the implementation of telematics and other vehicle technology among usage-based insurers to collect additional driving data and ensure more accurate premium pricing.

Nevertheless, several cost-driving trends remain pressing concerns in the segment, pushing claims frequency to pre-pandemic levels and increasing overall loss severity. With this in mind, policyholders across industries and vehicle classes can still expect to experience rate increases going into 2023. Further, insureds with larger fleets or poor loss history may be more vulnerable to ongoing rate jumps, lowered capacity and potential coverage limitations.

2023 Price Prediction:

+3% to +15%
Developments and Trends to Watch

- **Social inflation and nuclear verdict concerns**—Although social inflation has affected various lines of commercial coverage in recent years, the auto insurance market has been particularly impacted. This is mainly due to trends in the trucking industry, including a surge in costly lawsuits and associated settlements. Specifically, nuclear verdicts have become more prevalent in the segment, especially in as they pertain to bodily injury claims. According to recent Advisen loss data, the percentage of trucking awards totaling more than $10 million has jumped by 15% since 2017. Between 2021 and 2022, some nuclear verdicts even generated billion-dollar commercial auto liability losses. Altogether, an analysis by the Insurance Information Institute and the Casualty Actuarial Society attributed nuclear verdicts and subsequent social inflation concerns as driving up commercial auto insurance claim costs by $20 billion throughout the last decade.

In response to the rise in nuclear verdicts, attorneys are more inclined to go to trial. This extends litigation and significantly raises the cost of defending a claim. What’s worse, the ongoing surge in nuclear verdicts has contributed to many commercial auto insurance carriers either decreasing their risk appetites and restricting coverage offerings or exiting the market altogether. Consequently, insureds affected by nuclear verdicts are less likely to have sufficient coverage for these events—potentially leading to financial devastation when they occur.

- **Increased accident frequency and severity**—In addition to nuclear verdict trends affecting social inflation and claim expenses across the commercial auto market, accident frequency and severity have progressively increased over the past few years, compounding claim costs. In particular, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration confirmed that more deaths have occurred on the road since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, with 2020 recording the highest number of driver fatalities since 2007. Such fatalities jumped by an additional 10.5% in 2021 and another 0.5% in the first half of 2022 alone. These fatal crashes have been linked to a rise in unsafe behaviors behind the wheel (e.g., speeding and neglecting to wear a seat belt). Apart from increased fatal crash rates, road incidents that result in severe injuries have also contributed to elevated accident expenses. This is because such injuries often require multiple doctor visits, complex procedures and advanced treatment plans, which can extend recovery times and inflate total medical costs.

Additionally, certain technological advancements have made vehicles increasingly expensive to repair following accidents, further driving up claim costs. Industry research shows that electronics now make up more than 40% of the cost of a new vehicle, highlighting the economic concerns associated with repairing or replacing modern vehicle parts. Making matters worse, supply chain struggles brought on by fluctuating demand and various international disruptions have made some vehicle parts both more expensive and harder to obtain. According to management consulting firm McKinsey & Company, prices for vehicle components and equipment soared by 22.8% between June 2021 and June 2022. These issues, coupled with rising labor expenses and inflated used and new vehicle prices, have led to prolonged vehicle repair times and, in turn, surging overall claim costs. As a whole, industry data revealed that supply chain disruptions and inflation have contributed to approximately $9 billion in loss costs for auto physical damage since 2021.

- **Considerations about evolving technology**—The last few years have seen vehicles continue to grow more advanced and incorporate new technology (e.g., blind-spot cameras, backup alarms, GPS devices and telematics software), providing opportunities to increase driver safety and bolster operational efficiency among commercial fleets. Automatic braking technology and advanced driver-
assistance systems (ADAS) have also risen in popularity, offering features such as lane departure warnings, blind spot detection, and front and rear crash prevention. According to a recent study from the Highway Data Loss Institute, ADAS-equipped fleets have experienced a 9% decrease in property damage claims, a 3.1% drop in collision claims, a 17.4% reduction in bodily injury claims and a 19.6% decline in medical payment claims. Smartphones have even begun pushing road safety by providing more hands-free features, deploying “driving mode” options that silence notifications behind the wheel and offering various safe driving applications.

Yet, it’s important to note that evolving vehicle and driver safety technology also carries potential risks. Namely, if implemented poorly or incorrectly, this technology could create additional distractions for drivers on the road—potentially resulting in further accidents and related costs. After all, distracted driving is a prevalent concern within any fleet. According to the NHTSA, more than 1,000 people are injured each day in crashes involving distracted drivers, while those who text behind the wheel are 23 times more likely to be involved in an accident. As such, it’s evident that vehicle technology must be leveraged effectively in order for businesses and their fleets to reap the benefits of these advancements.

- **Driver shortage challenges**—Across industry lines, several workforce movements have led to a challenging labor market. Between the Great Resignation and the Great Reshuffle, a growing number of employees opted to exit the workforce altogether or leave their positions in search of new roles that better suit their shifting job priorities (e.g., greater work-life balance, higher pay, additional benefits and increased flexibility). These labor trends have been particularly difficult for the trucking and transportation sectors to manage, posing extra hiring and retention obstacles amid an ongoing driver shortage.

The nation’s driver shortage reached a historic high of more than 80,000 open positions in 2021, according to the American Trucking Associations (ATA). While this shortage didn’t increase in 2022, it remained near the previous year’s record at 78,000 open positions. In addition to current workforce movements, the ATA contends that demographic imbalances among drivers may also be contributing to the shortage. That is, women make up only 8% of commercial drivers, despite representing 47% of the overall labor market. Further, a rising proportion of drivers are reaching retirement age, as more than half (57%) of experienced drivers are over the age of 45 and nearly one-quarter (23%) are over the age of 55. Amid this shortage, many businesses have had to lower their driver applicant standards to fill open positions. These drivers often have fewer years of experience and shorter driving records. Such factors can make these new employees more likely to be involved in accidents on the road, contributing to an increase in commercial auto claims. Looking ahead, the ATA anticipates that the driver shortage could surpass 160,000 open positions by 2030, exacerbating road safety risks and related claim concerns.

**Tips for Insurance Buyers**
- Examine your loss control practices relative to your fleet and drivers. Enhance your driver safety programs by implementing or modifying policies on safe driving and distracted driving.
- Design your driver training programs to fit your needs and the exposures facing your business. Regularly retrain drivers on safe driving techniques.
- Establish adequate driving schedules to reduce driver fatigue. Educate employees on driver fatigue and encourage them to take a break if they start experiencing symptoms behind the wheel.
If you have just begun offering delivery services or recently hired new delivery drivers, fully assess the risks associated with these changes and implement measures to minimize potential damages (e.g., driver training programs and safe delivery protocols).

Ensure you are hiring qualified drivers by using motor vehicle records (MVRs) to vet drivers’ past experience and moving violations. Disqualify drivers with unacceptable driving records. Review MVRs on a regular basis to ensure that drivers maintain good driving records. Define the number and types of violations a driver can have before they lose their driving privileges.

Consider technology solutions, such as telematics, where appropriate to strengthen and supplement other loss control measures.

Implement an employee retention program to maintain experienced drivers.

Prioritize organizational accident prevention initiatives and establish effective post-accident investigation protocols to prevent future collisions on the road.

Examine your Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration BASIC scores to identify gaps in your fleet management programs, if applicable.

Determine whether you should make structural changes to your commercial auto policies by speaking with your insurance broker.
Workers’ Compensation Insurance

For much of the past decade, the workers’ compensation insurance market has remained stable across states and sectors and produced profitable underwriting results, thus performing as an outlier against other lines of commercial coverage. According to data from the National Council on Compensation Insurance (NCCI), the segment has been profitable for eight consecutive years, with the combined ratio falling under 100 every year since 2014. However, the ratio bottomed out at 79 in 2016 and has been slowly increasing ever since. The ratio reached 87 in 2021—matching the prior year’s result and representing a slight jump from 85 in 2019. Furthermore, midyear findings for 2022 revealed a ratio of 112, posing the potential for market difficulties and a lack of profitability going forward.

Various trends could be contributing to this climbing ratio in the workers’ compensation segment. Although developments such as advancements in workplace safety technology and telemedicine have helped mitigate employee injuries and illnesses (as well as related claims), other challenges—including musculoskeletal disorders among remote workers, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) concerns within the health care sector, comorbidities and labor shortages across industry lines, and overall well-being and inflation issues—have created additional avenues for such ailments and associated claims. Despite this, industry experts predict that the market’s past profitability will still allow for some stability in 2023. Therefore, most policyholders can anticipate minor rate increases, while insureds with higher experience modification factors will likely encounter greater rate jumps.

2023 Price Prediction:

-5% to +5%

Developments and Trends to Watch

- **Employee well-being concerns**—Employee well-being refers to the overall state of workers’ physical, mental and emotional health. Although employee well-being is typically considered an HR-related matter, it’s also an enterprise risk that directly correlates with key business objectives—including workplace safety. In fact, according to the latest industry research, businesses with effective programs focused on promoting employee well-being experience an average of 30% fewer workers’ compensation claims. Over the years, many businesses have attempted to boost employee well-being by offering workplace solutions aimed solely at maintaining physical health (e.g., serving nutritious meal options on-site, offering smoking cessation programs or providing discounted memberships to local gyms). While such solutions can certainly help employees make healthier lifestyle choices and reduce their risk of chronic illnesses and workplace injuries, promoting employee well-being requires businesses to develop initiatives that address all aspects of workers’ overall health and happiness.

Specifically, employees’ mental health must be considered. Mental health consists of individuals’ emotional, psychological and social well-being. In times of distress, individuals may suffer from poor mental health. Emotions associated with poor mental health include grief, stress, sadness or anxiousness. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, mental health concerns are on the rise, with 71% of U.S. adults experiencing adverse symptoms of stress (e.g., feeling overwhelmed or anxious) each year. What’s worse, the National Safety Council confirmed that instances of both moderate and severe mental health distress are linked to a greater risk of workplace accidents. This is likely because employees facing mental health concerns are often less
focused, engaged and aware of potential safety hazards, resulting in poor decision-making and unnecessary risk-taking. These accidents not only lead to injured employees but also higher workers’ compensation costs. With this in mind, it has become increasingly critical for businesses to adopt supportive workplace cultures and incorporate mental health initiatives in their employee well-being efforts.

- **Remote work and musculoskeletal disorders**—When the COVID-19 pandemic first emerged in 2020, businesses across industry lines transitioned to remote operations, requiring their employees to work from home. Some employees eventually returned to their workplaces after stay-at-home orders expired, but others continued to work remotely or sought hybrid arrangements—creating a large-scale shift in the overall proportion of remote employees. Initially, it seemed that remote employees would be less prone to occupational injuries at their home workstations. Yet, some employees’ remote work setups are contributing to musculoskeletal disorders, thus causing workers’ compensation concerns. Recent industry research found that remote employees with poorly designed workstations—namely, those lacking effective ergonomic measures—are more likely to experience ailments such as carpal tunnel syndrome, back pain, neck and shoulder sprains, headaches and digital eyestrain. According to this research, more than 40% of all workers have reported an emergence of or increase in back, shoulder and wrist pain since 2020, highlighting the severity of the problem. Further, multiple studies have shown that remote employees tend to work more hours per day than their on-site counterparts, oftentimes from nonergonomic areas (e.g., bedrooms, dining tables or couches) instead of dedicated home office spaces—providing additional opportunities for occupational injuries. Because remote employees can technically work at any given time (even outside standard business hours), some industry experts have asserted that these employees pose 24-hour workers’ compensation exposures.

Looking ahead, these exposures are here to stay, with more than one-fifth (22%) of the workforce expected to be remote by 2025, according to industry data. As such, a growing number of businesses have begun implementing measures to minimize possible remote work injuries, including creating telecommuting policies, setting fixed work hours and rest periods, establishing clear home workstation guidelines, providing remote work safety training, and conducting regular checkups aimed at identifying and remedying potential occupational hazards.

- **PTSD among health care workers and first responders**—PTSD is a mental health condition that can develop in people after they experience a very stressful, scary or distressing event. To be diagnosed with PTSD, symptoms generally must last for more than a month and be severe enough to interfere with relationships, work or other components of daily life. Common PTSD symptoms include having flashbacks of the traumatic event, wanting to avoid places or objects that are reminders of the event, being easily startled, having angry outbursts, experiencing negative thoughts and losing interest in enjoyable activities. While PTSD has long been an occupational concern—particularly for first responders and emergency medical technicians (EMTs)—the pandemic has put a spotlight on the potential for workers to contract PTSD from their employment, especially in the health care field. According to recent data from the National Center for Biotechnical Information, the prevalence of PTSD among EMTs is higher than 20%, which is more than double the general population average (7%-8%).

Employees diagnosed with PTSD from a job-related cause may look to file workers’ compensation claims to receive coverage for associated medical bills and lost wages. However, workers’ compensation benefits eligibility requirements for PTSD vary between states. The pandemic has
accelerated the rate at which many state legislatures have been considering establishing or expanding the eligibility for benefits to those suffering from job-related PTSD. But, since it can be difficult to objectively measure mental health conditions or prove they were caused by employment, obtaining such benefits could be an uphill battle for workers in some instances. Nevertheless, as health care workers continue to carry the heavy burden of the pandemic, eligibility requirements for receiving PTSD-related benefits will likely expand. As a result, some businesses and certain sectors may see an impact on overall workers’ compensation costs.

- **Inflation issues**—This past year has been met with growing inflation concerns, impacting individuals and industries across the board. The commercial insurance market is no exception to these concerns. Similar to other goods and services, inflation can also elevate the cost of insurance. In the scope of workers’ compensation, this segment is primarily affected by medical inflation and wage inflation. Here’s a breakdown of these two types of inflation:

  - **Medical inflation**—This form of inflation refers to rising prices for health care necessities (e.g., medical devices, supplies and pharmaceuticals). These prices are typically determined a year in advance based on projections by Medicare and private insurance contracts. Because such projections took place before inflation concerns skyrocketed, medical inflation has remained fairly low in comparison to overall inflation trends. That being said, the workers’ compensation segment has yet to face the full impacts of rising inflation issues. Fortunately, the segment is better equipped to handle inflation issues than other commercial lines of coverage due to its past several years of profitability. Additionally, many states have fee schedules in place for workers’ compensation coverage, which are predetermined expenses for medical services. These fee schedules are intended to keep treatment costs for injured or ill employees and associated claim expenses reasonable, combatting medical inflation concerns. In any case, it’s still important to note that elevated claim and coverage costs brought on by medical inflation are expected in the year ahead.

  - **Wage inflation**—Amid rising cost-of-living expenses and ongoing labor challenges, many businesses have increased their workers’ pay to boost attraction and retention efforts—resulting in wage inflation. Because payroll is leveraged as an exposure base to calculate workers’ compensation premiums, wage inflation could prompt increased rates. After all, higher wages are tied to greater benefits, and it’s crucial for benefits and premiums to remain in balance to ensure workers are adequately reimbursed for lost income following occupational illnesses or injuries. The NCCI also reported that the surge in employees receiving raises and moving from lower-wage positions to higher-paying roles could increase the risk of payroll miscalculations and create short-term disconnects between wages, benefits and workers’ compensation premiums. Most states have an index for wage inflation to make sure premiums and benefits keep up with each other, but it’s still possible for errors to occur.

- **Labor shortages**—Businesses of all sizes and sectors have been facing labor shortages for several years, raising employee safety concerns and elevating workers’ compensation exposures. There is a range of factors currently contributing to such shortages. Within the past year alone, various workforce movements—primarily, the Great Resignation and the Great Reshuffle—have led to a challenging labor market. Amid these movements, a growing number of employees opted to either exit the workforce altogether or leave their positions in search of new roles that better suit their shifting job priorities. In response, businesses have begun hiring a larger number of workers who are inexperienced or new to their particular fields. This shift is evidenced by recent NCCI data, which
found that the proportion of short-tenured employees—those who have been with their respective employers for 12 months or less—increased across multiple sectors (e.g., leisure and hospitality, retail trade, and transportation and warehousing) over the past year. Yet, short-tenured employees are more likely to get injured on the job, carrying additional workers’ compensation risks. According to the BLS, 40% of all workplace injuries involve employees who have been in their positions for less than one year, and 1 in 8 injuries happen on employees’ first days. Further, the latest industry research found that injuries among short-tenured employees contribute to more than 6 million lost working days each year, representing more than one-third (37%) of overall lost days.

Compounding labor challenges and related employee safety issues, the workforce is rapidly aging. By 2026, the BLS estimates that the workforce participation rate among employees aged 65-74 will reach 30.2%, up from 17.5% in 1996. The potential ramifications of this trend are twofold. First, this means that a greater percentage of the workforce will be approaching retirement age, opening the door for further labor shortages in the future. Second, older employees are more likely to experience occupational injuries and take longer to recover from such injuries, possibly driving up workers’ compensation claims and costs. Specifically, NCCI data shows that employees over the age of 55 account for more than one-fifth (21%) of workers’ compensation claims and contribute to nearly one-third (31%) of total claim expenses. In light of these labor challenges, effective retention strategies and in-depth safety training have become top priorities for many businesses.

- **Comorbidities**—A comorbidity is the simultaneous presence of two or more medical diagnoses for an individual. Comorbid conditions are typically long-term health complications that can increase the severity of other injuries or illnesses the affected individual may experience, making it more difficult to recover. Common comorbid conditions include obesity, diabetes, hypertension, depression, anxiety and substance abuse. A recent study conducted by the NCCI found that workers’ compensation claims involving comorbidities have nearly tripled since 2000. Further, the average cost of workers’ compensation claims connected to a comorbid condition is almost twice as much as comparable claims that don’t involve comorbidities. Such comorbidity concerns have emphasized the importance of workplace wellness initiatives to promote employees’ overall health and limit their risk of developing long-term complications.

- **Wearable safety technology**—In an effort to minimize employee injuries and subsequent workers’ compensation claims, many businesses have turned to wearable safety technology in recent years. This technology refers to a variety of electronic devices that employees are able to wear comfortably on their bodies while they work. These devices can help monitor employees’ behaviors on the job, alert them of hazardous situations and provide real-time safety instructions—thus promoting a safer work environment, mitigating injuries and lowering workers’ compensation costs. According to Allied Market Research, the industrial wearable technology market is projected to reach $8.4 billion by 2027, with more than 100 companies currently in the process of developing this technology. While there are initial implementation costs and privacy concerns to consider for these devices, a recent study from Auburn University found that over three-quarters of safety professionals favor using wearable safety technology to protect employees from injuries on the job. What’s more, industry research shows that businesses could experience up to a 250% return on their investment in such technology as a result of fewer workplace accidents, injuries and associated workers’ compensation claims. Taking a closer look at businesses that have already implemented wearable safety technology, large-scale retailer corporation Walmart recently reported a 65% decrease in in ergonomic-related occupational injuries among participating store locations within
the first year of rolling out these devices. As this technology continues to advance, other businesses could likely experience similar—if not better—results.

- **Telemedicine**—In addition to using technology to help prevent workplace injuries, businesses have also begun leveraging digital capabilities to help employees treat their ailments, improving recovery outcomes and lowering associated workers’ compensation costs. Primarily, telemedicine, which allows employees to receive medical services virtually after they’ve been injured on the job, has continued to rise in popularity over the years. Telemedicine offers many benefits, including simplified access to medical specialists, reduced treatment delays and lowered transportation expenses. Especially during the height of the pandemic, telemedicine became an increasingly attractive option for employees to receive medical services without having to physically visit a doctor’s office or navigate limited clinic availability. According to the Workers’ Compensation Research Institute (WCRI), 28 states either added or expanded telemedicine offerings for treating occupational injuries due to the pandemic. WCRI data found that telemedicine usage reached a peak between March and June 2020, particularly for the treatment of minor injuries, such as sprains and strains. The data also revealed that telemedicine usage has since leveled off between 2021 and 2022, although it still sits above pre-pandemic levels.

**Tips for Insurance Buyers**

- Implement safety and health programs to address common risks, especially when using a loss-sensitive workers’ compensation program.
- Conduct routine safety training for employees of all ages and experience levels.
- Consider implementing various digital solutions—such as wearable safety technology and telemedicine—to help prevent and treat injuries within your workers’ compensation program.
- Establish workplace wellness initiatives aimed at preventing or treating chronic health conditions and improving the overall well-being of your staff. These initiatives can help reduce the risk of your workforce developing comorbidities and promote greater employee retention. Additionally, consider incorporating mental health resources and support options within employee wellness offerings.
- Develop an effective return-to-work program that properly supports employees in the process of healing from a work-related illness or injury and resuming job duties following their recovery.
- Develop policies and procedures aimed at helping remote employees make their workspaces more ergonomic and prevent injuries at home.
- Ensure accurate payroll projections. Having correct wage information is critical for conducting accurate premium calculations, especially amid rising inflation concerns. Errors in payroll projections could present serious consequences, such as inadequate rates, insufficient benefits or a lack of ample coverage following costly claims.
- Pay close attention to applicable state-regulated and carrier-negotiated fee schedules for workers’ compensation coverage. Through the utilization of fee schedules, employees can receive much-needed health care for work-related illnesses and injuries without significantly driving up claim costs—even with medical inflation issues on the rise.
- Have clear processes established for handling workers’ compensation claims as diligently and efficiently as possible. Effective claim management protocols can often help mitigate claim severity and prevent similar losses from occurring in the future.
Cyber Insurance

Evolving technology, increased threat vectors and growing attacker sophistication has continued to drive up both the frequency and severity of cyber incidents, resulting in an ongoing rise in cyber insurance claims and subsequent underwriting losses. Amid these market conditions, most policyholders experienced higher cyber insurance rates throughout 2022. According to industry data, some insureds saw as much as 50%-100% rate increases, depending on their specific digital exposures and loss control measures. In addition to elevated premiums, insureds have begun encountering coverage restrictions, further scrutiny from underwriters regarding cybersecurity practices, and exclusions for losses stemming from certain types of cyber incidents—namely, acts of cyberwarfare related to international conflicts and other prevalent cyberattack methods (e.g., ransomware).

Moving into 2023, industry experts anticipate that difficult market conditions—combined with several new entrants to the segment—will make for an increasingly volatile and unpredictable cyber insurance space. Regardless, policyholders who fail to adopt proper cybersecurity protocols or experience a rise in cyber losses may continue to face rate increases and coverage limitations for the foreseeable future.

Developments and Trends to Watch

- **Increased nation-state and supply chain threats**—Nation-state cyberattacks remain a major concern, especially as the ongoing Russia-Ukraine conflict contributes to global cyberwarfare worries. Because nation-state attacks often arise from third-party exposures, businesses have become more focused on addressing their supply chain vulnerabilities. Amid rising cyberwarfare threats, the following trends have emerged:
  - **Bolstered cybersecurity practices**—In March 2022, the White House issued a statement warning U.S. organizations that nation-state cybersecurity exposures stemming from Russian attackers would likely increase in the coming months. The federal government also introduced new initiatives aimed at hardening the nation’s cyber defenses against foreign threats and urged businesses to follow suit. According to a recent survey, many businesses did just that, with more
than half (52%) of respondents having increased their organizations’ oversight of IT vendor management to address geopolitical conflict concerns. More than one-third of respondents also took steps to manage these concerns within their supply chains through activities such as identifying critical suppliers (38%) and assessing network connectivity with vendors (36%).

- Additional coverage challenges—Apart from elevating their cybersecurity tactics, some insureds have sought extra coverage to help protect against cyberwarfare risks. But these policyholders have likely faced challenges obtaining such coverage, primarily due to war exclusions. These exclusions generally state that damages from “hostile or warlike actions” by a nation-state won’t receive coverage. Cyber policies are not immune to war exclusions; however, recent court cases and insurance industry shifts have muddied the scope of these exclusions as they pertain to cyberwarfare, creating confusion and posing potential coverage gaps among policyholders. Yet, global insurance market Lloyd’s of London recently announced to its widespread network of carriers that all new or renewed cyber policies must exclude coverage for losses stemming from nation-state attacks as of March 31, 2023. Going forward, it’s possible that additional carriers will follow suit or—at the very least—adjust their policies to explicitly outline coverage capabilities (or lack thereof) for damages related to cyberwarfare.

- Tightened underwriting standards—Similar to last year, cyber insurance carriers have continued to adjust their underwriting practices to help mitigate the risk of making costly payouts. In particular, the heightened severity of cyber incidents has motivated most carriers to be more selective regarding which organizations they will insure and the types of losses they will cover. For example, many carriers have begun leveraging organizations’ cybersecurity documentation to determine whether they qualify for coverage—in the cases of both new policies and renewals—as well as calculate how expensive their premiums will be. This documentation may include detailed information on essential elements of organizations’ cyber hygiene, such as incident response planning, employee training, data storage and recovery processes, email safeguards, multifactor authentication protocols and patch management procedures. Some carriers have even incorporated scanning technology into their underwriting processes to assess policyholders’ digital hygiene.

- Evolved regulations—Throughout the past few years, both the federal government and several states have implemented and enforced stricter data privacy and breach notification laws, holding businesses more accountable for their cybersecurity failings. In 2021, Virginia and Colorado introduced tightened legislation mirroring the California Consumer Privacy Act and Europe’s General Data Protection Regulation. Additionally, states such as Connecticut, Nevada, Texas and Mississippi created laws that widened the definition of personally identifiable information (PII) and elevated the penalties for exposing PII. In 2022, the federal government introduced multiple new cybersecurity regulations. This legislation includes a proposal from the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regarding additional cybersecurity disclosure standards for publicly traded companies, as well as the Strengthening American Cybersecurity Act of 2022, which requires businesses to report large-scale cyber incidents within 72 hours and ransomware payments made within 24 hours of them occurring. As data privacy and breach notification laws become more expansive, it’s vital for businesses to adjust their cybersecurity procedures when necessary to maintain compliance and limit associated insurance claims.

- Elevated ransomware and extortion concerns—Ransomware attacks, which entail cybercriminals compromising devices or servers and demanding large payments be made before restoring the technology (as well as any data stored on it), have skyrocketed in recent years. They impact
businesses of all sizes and sectors, but especially small- and medium-sized establishments. What’s worse, these attacks often carry costly losses—both as a result of substantial payment demands and technology and data recovery efforts. In fact, international software company Acronis revealed in its latest Cyberthreat Report that global ransomware damages are expected to exceed $30 billion in 2023. This ongoing increase in attacks has likely been brought on by cybercriminals growing more sophisticated and developing additional attack avenues and extortion methods. Here’s a breakdown of emerging ransomware techniques:

- **Ransomware-as-a-Service (RaaS)**— RaaS refers to a dark web business model that permits sophisticated cybercriminals to sell their ransomware software to willing buyers (usually less skilled cybercriminals), who then utilize the software to launch attacks and secure ransom payments. When RaaS developers secure buyers, these customers are usually provided with access to not only the ransomware software itself but also some form of a product portal. This portal may include detailed instructions for software implementation, user reviews, support forums and special discounts or offers for future purchases from the developer. Customers may receive permanent access to the software they buy or only be given an allotted amount of time to utilize it. Depending on the developer, RaaS purchases can be a one-time sale or a monthly subscription service. In any case, the RaaS model poses a serious threat to all organizations, as it allows cybercriminals of any skill level to execute ransomware attacks. According to cybersecurity company Fortinet, the number of ransomware variants circulating worldwide nearly doubled between 2021 and 2022, suggesting a substantial increase in cybercriminals’ utilization of the RaaS model.

- **Double and triple extortion**—Double extortion follows similar protocols to that of typical ransomware attacks; however, it differs in that cybercriminals copy or transfer victims’ data (also called data exfiltration) to deploy an extra threat—victims must pay ransoms not only to regain access to their technology and data but also to keep that data from being shared publicly. Triple extortion takes this threat one step further, with cybercriminals utilizing stolen data to seek out victims’ third-party associates (e.g., customers, suppliers and business partners) and demand additional ransoms from these parties to prevent their information from being exposed. Double and triple extortion practices are on the rise, as more than two-thirds (70%) of ransomware attacks now involve some form of data exfiltration, according to media company HealthITSecurity. These attacks can be significantly more damaging for affected organizations than average ransomware incidents. This is because even if organizations have protocols in place (e.g., storing data in multiple secure locations) that allow them to recover their compromised information without paying ransoms, they may still be pressured to do so in order to keep their data from going public and protect their associates from being targeted—with no genuine guarantee that such payments will actually stop these threats from being carried out.

- **Heightened business email compromise (BEC) risks**—BEC scams involve cybercriminals impersonating seemingly legitimate sources—such as senior-level employees, suppliers, vendors, business partners or other organizations—via email. Cybercriminals use these emails to gain the trust of their targets, tricking victims into believing they are communicating with genuine senders. From there, cybercriminals convince their targets to wire money, share sensitive information (e.g., customer and employee data, proprietary knowledge or trade secrets) or engage in other compromising activities. These scams are among the most expensive types of social engineering losses, and they have become a major threat to businesses across industry lines. The FBI reported
that BEC scams have increased by 39% since 2020, contributing to $2.4 billion in annual losses across the United States and costing an average of $120,000 per incident.

**Tips for Insurance Buyers**

- Work with your insurance professionals to understand the different types of cyber coverage available and secure a policy that suits your unique needs. Start renewal conversations early.
- Take advantage of loss control services offered by insurance carriers to help strengthen your cybersecurity measures.
- Provide remote employees with adequate resources, support and software to avoid cybersecurity concerns amid work-from-home or hybrid arrangements.
- Focus on employee training to prevent cybercrime from affecting your operations. Employees should be aware of the latest cyberthreats and ways to prevent them from occurring.
- Keep organizational technology secure by utilizing a virtual private network, installing antivirus software, implementing a firewall, restricting employees’ administrative controls and encrypting all sensitive data.
- Consider implementing cybersecurity controls such as multifactor authentication, endpoint detection and response solutions, network segregation and segmentation, remote desk protocol safeguards, end-of-life software management and email authentication technology.
- Store backups of critical data in a secure, offline location to minimize losses in the event of a ransomware attack.
- Update workplace software on a regular basis to ensure its effectiveness. Keep employees on a strict software update schedule and consider using a patch management system to assist with updates.
- Establish an effective, documented cyber incident response plan aimed at remaining operational and minimizing damages in the event of a data breach or cyberattack. Test this plan regularly by running through various scenarios with staff. Make updates to the plan as needed.
- Develop workplace policies that prioritize cybersecurity—including an internet usage policy, a remote work policy, a bring-your-own-device policy and a data breach response policy.
- Be sure to consider potential nation-state threats and supply chain exposures when establishing your organization’s cybersecurity policies and protocols.
Directors and Officers Liability Insurance

Although the last few years in the directors and officers liability (D&O) insurance segment were characterized by double-digit rate increases and lowered capacity, market conditions proved much more favorable throughout 2022. This shift was brought on by a range of factors, including new market entrants and fewer litigation concerns stemming from initial public offerings (IPOs) and special purpose acquisition companies (SPACs). In the scope of publicly traded companies, rate increases and retentions moderated substantially—with many policyholders even encountering rate decreases. According to industry data, more than two-thirds (69%) of such insureds saw reduced renewal premiums in 2022, while 15% experienced flat rates. These findings are essentially the opposite of 2021’s results, in which 70% of policyholders encountered increased renewal premiums. Taking a closer look at these trends, renewal pricing for primary and lower excess layers of D&O coverage mostly ranged from flat to single-digit increases during 2022, whereas pricing for mid- and high-excess layers largely decreased. Further, the capacity for higher excess layers has been on the rise, resulting in more competitive market dynamics.

While the D&O segment has also started to stabilize for private and nonprofit companies, these companies are still deemed higher risk by insurers than their publicly traded counterparts. As such, industry data confirmed that rates for these policyholders continued to increase in 2022, albeit at a decelerated pace compared to prior years. Moving into 2023, industry experts anticipate that favorable market conditions will press on, paving the way for further premium stagnation and potential rate decreases. Nonetheless, there are still some troubling trends for insureds to be aware of, such as cybersecurity concerns; global and economic uncertainty; and environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues. Additionally, even as market conditions change, it’s important to note that policyholders operating within challenging industries, possessing poor loss history or utilizing insufficient risk management measures could face ongoing rate jumps and coverage difficulties.

2023 Price Prediction:

Private/nonprofit entities: -10% to +7.5%
Public companies: -15% to +2.5%

Developments and Trends to Watch

- New market entrants and increased competition—In light of increasing capacity and decelerating rates, it’s clear that insurers’ overall sentiment toward the D&O market has shifted. Looking ahead, insurers are poised to fuel further segment growth, as evidenced by several new entrants in the market and—subsequently—greater competition. According to the latest industry data, as many as 20 new entrants have recently made their way into the market, resulting in the average publicly traded company receiving quotes from up to eight different insurers for current renewals, compared to just three a year prior. While many of these new entrants assumed the rapid increase in publicly traded companies seen in past years would continue, 2022 was met with fewer IPOs and SPAC deals, prompting even more competition in the renewal space. In order to secure market shares, some D&O insurers have also broadened their underwriting appetites by quoting additional layers of coverage, offering higher limits and undercutting competitors to attract new business—therefore promoting segment conditions that largely benefit policyholders.
• **ESG issues**—ESG activism has also made a noticeable impact on the D&O market. Specifically, senior leaders have been held increasingly accountable for upholding their companies’ commitments to environmental and social initiatives by stakeholders, regulators and the public, thus prompting increased litigation against such leaders and associated D&O claims. Some of this litigation has centered around senior leaders misrepresenting, failing to disclose or neglecting to effectively address topics such as board diversity, equal pay and human rights abuse within supply chains.

Amid an ongoing rise in natural disasters, deforestation, and water and biodiversity degradation, climate change has been the main focus of ESG-related litigation; much of the litigation alleges that senior leaders have not fully disclosed the material risks of climate change or promoted eco-friendly operations. According to the Grantham Research Institute, global climate change litigation has more than doubled since 2015, contributing to more than 2,000 lawsuits—nearly one-quarter of which occurred in the past two years alone. Adding to these concerns, the SEC proposed changes to its climate change disclosure rules for publicly traded companies in March 2022. Such changes include requiring companies to share more details on their climate-related risks, associated mitigation measures and greenhouse gas emissions. If adopted, these changes could contribute to increased climate change litigation and D&O claims for noncompliant companies.

However, even as companies make it a priority to maintain eco-friendly operations, they should be sure to avoid greenwashing. Greenwashing refers to a deceptive marketing practice in which companies produce misleading information to trick the public into believing their products, services or mission have more of a positive impact on the environment than is accurate. This practice undermines companies that actually implement sustainability efforts and can make it harder for consumers and investors to make eco-friendly decisions. As stakeholders take more legal action in this area, setting unrealistic ESG targets could lead to additional litigation and D&O claims.

• **Global and economic uncertainty**—Businesses across industry lines (as well as their senior leaders) are facing uncertainty within their operations due to widespread supply chain bottlenecks that have persisted since the initial onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and ongoing international conflicts. Furthermore, the economy has shown signs of trouble throughout 2022, primarily by way of record-setting inflation issues, a volatile stock market and rising interest rates. These trends have led some economic experts to suggest that the nation could be entering a recession in the near future. As a result of lacking global and economic stability, some senior leaders have found it more difficult to create effective business plans and ensure proper financial decisions for their companies.

However, even amid unpredictable conditions, these leaders could still face litigation from stakeholders—especially investors—for making poor decisions (e.g., missing earnings guidance, leveraging inaccurate economic projections or providing inadequate risk disclosures) that ultimately lead to financial losses. This would contribute to D&O claims. Moreover, underwriters are also facing these uncertain conditions, making it more challenging for them to properly determine companies’ D&O exposures. Considering these trends, it has become all the more critical for companies and their senior leaders to consistently monitor the shifting global and economic risk landscape and adjust their business practices as needed—demonstrating to insurers and stakeholders that they are equipped to handle their associated exposures.

• **Cybersecurity concerns**—Cyberattacks continue to increase in cost and frequency for businesses of all sizes and sectors, sometimes leading to litigation against senior leaders and related D&O claims. After all, decisions made by senior leaders are often intensely scrutinized following cyberattacks. Potential D&O losses can arise from allegations such as senior leaders failing to take reasonable
steps to protect stakeholders’ personal or financial information, implement controls to detect and prevent cyberattacks, and report incidents or notify the appropriate parties. For example, the board of directors at telecommunication company T-Mobile encountered a shareholder derivative lawsuit in November 2021 for allegedly failing to protect customers’ information in a large-scale data breach. The lawsuit eventually resulted in a $350 million settlement in November 2022. In terms of common cyberthreats facing senior leaders, ransomware has become a rising concern for many businesses—pushing senior leaders to make difficult decisions regarding network security measures and extortion response protocols. According to a recent survey from industry experts, more than half (59%) of business directors and executives consider cyber extortion to be among their top risks.

Compounding D&O risks stemming from cyberattacks, the SEC proposed amendments to its existing cybersecurity disclosure requirements for publicly traded companies in March 2022. These amendments include enhanced and standardized rules regarding cybersecurity governance, strategy, risk management and incident reporting. The adoption of these amendments could result in further litigation and associated D&O losses for noncompliant companies.

- **Litigation shifts**—In recent years, publicly traded companies and their senior leaders experienced a surge in litigation and related D&O claims. Yet, this litigation significantly subsided in certain areas throughout 2022, thus reducing associated claims and costs. Here’s an outline of these litigation shifts:

  o **Fewer securities class action lawsuits**—A securities class-action lawsuit refers to legal action brought on by a group of shareholders who claim to have suffered financial losses due to the publicly traded company they invested in (and its senior leaders) violating securities laws, such as SEC regulations on ensuring accurate financial statements and disclosures. These lawsuits soared over much of the past decade, more than doubling between 2012 and 2019, according to industry data. However, the Stanford Securities Class Action Clearinghouse and Cornerstone reported that these lawsuits peaked between 2019 and 2020, leveling off in recent years. In fact, there were slightly more than 150 such lawsuits in 2022, down from 211 in 2021 and 318 in 2020. This reduction in litigation has, in turn, helped drive down D&O claims.

  o **Fewer IPOs and SPAC deals**—A SPAC is a corporation developed with the primary intention of raising investment capital through an IPO—the process of a private company going public by selling its shares on a stock exchange. The secured funds are then utilized to acquire an unspecified business (also called a target company), which is later identified following the IPO. SPACs surged in popularity in the last few years, with many companies viewing these transactions as a more efficient way to go public. According to industry data, 613 SPAC deals occurred in 2021, generating more than $160 billion in IPO proceeds. These findings greatly surpassed the 248 transactions and $83 billion in proceeds generated during 2020. In response to this surge, the SEC focused on holding senior leaders who conduct SPAC transactions increasingly accountable for potential wrongdoings, such as failing to perform their due diligence on a target company’s finances or providing shareholders with misleading information. This prompted a significant increase in SPAC-related litigation and associated D&O losses. Although, as fewer companies opted to go public in 2022, IPOs and SPAC deals substantially cooled off. Specifically, industry data confirmed that the first six months of 2022 saw the number of publicly traded companies drop to its lowest level since 2016, contributing to less than 100 SPAC transactions. This reduced activity provided limited avenues for potential litigation, keeping related D&O claims at bay.
Nonetheless, it’s vital to keep in mind that the road to the de-SPAC process—which entails a SPAC finalizing the merger with its target company—can take several months (or even years), creating a possible lag between these transactions and related litigation. With this in mind, the high number of SPAC deals that occurred in 2021 could prompt ongoing litigation and D&O losses in the months and years ahead. Further, the SEC proposed additional disclosure rules and investment protections for IPOs and SPAC transactions in March 2022, which could also allow for more litigation in this space and fuel D&O claims in the future.

**Tips for Insurance Buyers**

- Examine your D&O program structure and limits alongside your insurance professionals to ensure they are appropriate and take market conditions and trends into account.

- Consult insurance brokers, loss control experts and underwriters to gain a better understanding of your D&O exposures and cost drivers in the market.

- Make sure your senior leadership team carefully assesses potential exposures and maintains compliant, honest practices amid IPOs and SPAC transactions. Pay close attention to SEC requirements for such transactions.

- Ensure your senior leaders follow safe financial practices (e.g., timely payments, educated investments, accurate documentation and reasonable reimbursement procedures). Be transparent with stakeholders about your organization’s economic state to avoid misrepresentation concerns.

- Make sure your senior leadership team is actively involved in monitoring your organization’s unique cyber risks, implementing proper cybersecurity practices to help prevent potential attacks (especially in the realm of remote work arrangements), ensuring compliance with all applicable data security standards and establishing an effective cyber incident response plan to minimize any damages in the event of an attack.

- Prioritize establishing eco-friendly initiatives among your senior leadership team. However, ensure that these initiatives remain realistic to avoid greenwashing concerns. Furthermore, be sure your senior leadership team conducts their due diligence and provides proper reporting regarding climate change concerns.
Employment Practices Liability Insurance

Similar to most other lines of commercial coverage, the employment practices liability (EPL) insurance segment has been met with hard market conditions in recent years—largely due to claim- and cost-driving trends such as nuclear verdicts, social inflation, greater regulatory scrutiny and evolving employment concerns. As a result, the majority of policyholders encountered rate increases during 2022. The immensity of these rate hikes varied based on sector, location, potential exposures and prior losses. Most insureds with good claims history encountered moderate rate jumps, ranging between 5% and 15%, according to industry data. Additionally, retention increases became common across the segment, with further pressure on primary retentions.

As new insurers emerge for excess layers of EPL coverage, market capacity has somewhat stabilized. However, a lack of competition among primary and lower-excess layers—especially for riskier industries (e.g., health care, retail, hospitality and leisure) and states (e.g., California, Illinois, Florida, New York and Texas)—has created ongoing capacity challenges for some insureds. Looking ahead to 2023, first-time EPL policyholders may experience additional capacity difficulties as insurers focus on maintaining profitability among their existing customers. As a whole, rate increases and coverage limitations will likely persist for high-risk insureds for the foreseeable future.

2023 Price Prediction:

+10% to +15%

Developments and Trends to Watch

• **Rising claim severity**—According to the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), overall employment charges and related EPL claims have been on the decline since 2016, in part due to labor market conditions that have permitted dissatisfied employees to more readily leave their positions and find other job opportunities. Yet, while charges have trended downward, their associated costs have done the opposite—thus elevating total EPL losses. Such increasing claim severity can be attributed to several different factors. Primarily, nuclear verdicts and subsequent social inflation issues have been on the rise over the past few years, driven by deteriorating public sentiment toward large corporations and growing demands to hold businesses accountable for their potential wrongdoings. Although these trends were prevalent before the COVID-19 pandemic, corporate mistrust and animosity were only exacerbated in recent years as the public saw certain companies grow more profitable during the public health emergency—providing further motivation for large-scale jury awards and associated EPL losses. In addition, some businesses have faced allegations regarding failures to make reasonable pandemic-related accommodations and adjustments for their workers, resulting in more than 5,400 lawsuits since March 2020, according to employment law firm Littler Mendelson. Altogether, industry experts anticipate that employment charges stemming from the pandemic could stretch out for months and years to come, resulting in delayed settlements and elevated claim costs in the future.

• **Increased regulatory scrutiny**—Within the last few years, President Joe Biden’s administration and the EEOC have collaborated on various regulatory initiatives to fight systemic discrimination in the employment landscape. This kind of discrimination refers to workplace policies and procedures that can place underserved groups at a disadvantage (e.g., racial injustices and gender pay disparities). In
A recent report, the EEOC shared that it has been leveraging both enforcement capabilities and education and outreach efforts to minimize discriminatory patterns in employment settings across industry lines and geographic areas. The report also highlighted that in fiscal year 2021, the EEOC resolved 342 investigations and obtained more than $24.4 million in monetary benefits for those affected by systemic discrimination. During this time, the EEOC also resolved 26 systemic discrimination lawsuits and filed another 13 lawsuits. Among these employment charges, retaliation—a company taking inappropriate actions against an employee for exercising their workplace rights—reigned as the top contributor, accounting for more than half (56%) of such litigation. Moving into 2022, the EEOC filed more than 40 lawsuits in the month of September alone, further emphasizing its increased enforcement efforts.

The Biden administration also announced plans to expand the EEOC’s workforce to 2,300 employees by 2023—up from approximately 1,900 in 2020. This growth in employees could allow for even greater enforcement capabilities, litigation and subsequent EPL claims going forward. As regulatory scrutiny continues to rise in the employment space, it has become increasingly crucial for businesses to maintain documented workplace policies and procedures that foster a culture of equality and inclusivity. This will help mitigate the risk of systemic discrimination concerns and associated EPL losses.

- **Social justice developments**—Multiple social justice developments could impact employment litigation and subsequent EPL claims in 2023. Here’s a breakdown of these developments:
  - **The #MeToo and Black Lives Matter movements**—The #MeToo movement, an anti-sexual harassment campaign, has empowered employees to call out inappropriate workplace conduct, contributing to a substantial rise in sexual assault and sexual harassment lawsuits against employers since 2017, according to the EEOC. In response to this trend, the Ending Forced Arbitration of Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Act was signed into law in March 2022. This legislation permits employees who come forward with sexual assault or sexual harassment allegations to take such claims to court, even if they had previously agreed to arbitrate these disputes before the claims arose. Such legislation has the potential to prompt further employment litigation and related EPL claims. Also, the Black Lives Matter movement—a racial justice campaign—could motivate employees to speak out against racial inequities on the job, possibly playing a role in race-related workplace discrimination and harassment lawsuits in the months and years ahead.
  - **Equal pay efforts**—The Biden administration has displayed a growing interest in managing the gender pay gap over the last few years, especially as it pertains to passing the Paycheck Fairness Act. If this proposed law eventually goes into effect, it would explicitly address sex-based wage discrimination and introduce further procedural protections to existing federal pay equity legislation, including the Equal Pay Act of 1963 and the Fair Labor Standards Act. As such, businesses should be prepared for the possibility of additional wage equality requirements in the future as well as take steps to minimize related litigation and EPL claims.
  - **LGBTQ protections**—The U.S. Supreme Court established in 2020 that Title VII protects gay and transgender employees from workplace discrimination and harassment based on sexual orientation, gender identity and gender expression. In 2021, the EEOC leveraged this decision to issue additional guidance permitting exceptions from workplace policies regarding bathrooms and dress codes for LGBTQ employees. However, a federal judge in Texas ruled this guidance unlawful in 2022. In any case, the Supreme Court’s decision and subsequent EEOC guidance or
other legal developments could result in further discrimination-based EPL claims in 2023 and beyond, as LGBTQ employees may feel more encouraged to hold businesses accountable for unfair treatment. After all, a recent report from the Williams Institute at the University of California, Los Angeles, School of Law revealed that nearly half (46%) of LGBTQ employees have experienced unfair treatment throughout their careers due to their sexual orientation or gender identity—representing a high potential for associated employment litigation.

- **Marijuana legalization considerations**—For the past decade, many states have been moving toward the legalization of marijuana. As it stands, more than one-third of the country (21 states) permits the use of recreational marijuana among adults ages 21 and older. Additionally, 37 states have legalized the utilization of marijuana for medical purposes. This means that—although marijuana remains illegal at the federal level—the majority of Americans have access to this substance in some form. Several states also have legislation in progress that could further propel marijuana legalization in the future. Such evolving legislation has created numerous challenges for businesses across industry lines—especially regarding EPL exposures. In particular, some states have enacted statutes that restrict employers’ abilities to conduct drug tests for marijuana, while others have introduced laws prohibiting employers from refusing to hire or taking adverse action against workers who use the substance recreationally while off-duty. In total, 22 states currently have some degree of employment protections in place for workers who partake in legal marijuana usage, showcasing the potential for litigation against noncompliant businesses and subsequent EPL losses. Therefore, employers with outdated policies and procedures regarding marijuana testing and off-duty usage could face an increased risk of EPL claims.

- **Artificial intelligence (AI) concerns**—In an effort to help streamline their recruitment and hiring processes, some businesses have turned to AI systems. These systems leverage programmed algorithms and data sets to assess a large group of job candidates and deliver automated employment decisions without the need for human intervention. Such systems can also assist with advertising companies’ open positions, analyzing resumes, testing applicants throughout the hiring process and identifying top-performing employees for promotion opportunities. According to industry data, more than one-third (35%) of businesses utilize AI systems.

While these systems can certainly offer various benefits to the businesses that use them, such technology may also pose EPL exposures. Specifically, AI systems—although intended to provide impartial results—may contribute to discriminatory employment decisions if the algorithms and data sets entered within these systems end up being biased toward specific groups. Depending on how frequently AI systems are used, these decisions could occur on a mass scale, presenting multiple avenues for discrimination-based litigation and associated EPL claims. The past few years have already seen several major companies, such as Google and Amazon, held responsible for AI system failings that demonstrated gender biases. In 2022, both the Biden administration and the EEOC released guidance highlighting practices that businesses should implement to prevent discrimination stemming from AI system utilization. This guidance primarily focuses on eliminating algorithms that remove candidates from consideration or lower their performance on employment tests due to their disabilities or medical conditions, as these algorithms could be considered unlawful under the Americans with Disabilities Act. Considering these developments, it’s imperative for businesses to carefully review their AI systems for possible biases to mitigate litigation risks and EPL losses.
**Tips for Insurance Buyers**

- Assess your employee handbook and related policies. Ensure you have all appropriate policies in place, including language on discrimination, harassment and retaliation.

- Implement effective sexual harassment prevention measures (e.g., a zero-tolerance policy and a sexual harassment awareness program), reporting methods and response protocols.

- Promote diversity, acceptance and inclusion in the workplace through staff education and training. Take any accusations or reports of discrimination seriously.

- Document all evaluations, employee complaints and situations that result in employee termination.

- Consult legal counsel for state-specific employee wage and hour guidance. Pay close attention to workplace issues that could lead to wage and hour complaints.

- Review any state-specific legislation related to marijuana legalization. Consider revising procedures related to conducting workplace drug tests for marijuana or basing employment decisions on an employee’s marijuana usage, as these practices could potentially contribute to EPL claims.

- Evaluate the algorithms and data sets for any AI systems utilized within recruitment and hiring processes to prevent discriminatory employment decisions and ensure compliance with applicable federal and EEOC guidance.
Moving Forward

It can sometimes seem as if the forces determining your insurance rates are beyond your control. But, as an insurance buyer, it’s important to know how your premiums are calculated, what trends influence the market and what you can do to get the best price.

Your claims history—which you can control—has an enormous impact on whether your rates go up or down. That’s where implementing a solid risk management plan will help steer your pricing in a more favorable direction, both now and in future renewal periods.

The following are five key components of a successful risk management strategy:

1. Pinpoint your exposures and cost drivers.

2. Identify the best loss control solutions to address your unique risks.

3. Create a solid business continuity plan to account for disasters and other unpredictable risks.

4. Build a company culture focused on safety.

5. Manage claims efficiently to keep costs down.

In addition to implementing the above risk management strategies, working alongside an experienced insurance broker is equally crucial. Qualified insurance professionals can help their clients analyze their business, understand their exposures and establish a suite of customized insurance policies that act as a last line of defense against claims. A broker will also thoroughly explain your policies, notifying you of any additional considerations to keep in mind.

Remember, the insurance landscape is complex, and although the predictions found in this outlook are based on expert research, they are subject to change. Fortunately, your partners at McDermottCosta Insurance Co. are diligently monitoring the market throughout the year and will keep you informed of any changes that might affect your business.
For More Information

This document is not intended to be exhaustive, nor should any discussion or opinions be construed as legal advice. Readers should contact legal counsel or an insurance professional for appropriate advice. For more details regarding the information contained in this report, contact McDermottCosta Insurance Co. today.

In addition to helping you navigate the insurance market, McDermottCosta Insurance Co. has resources to assist in your risk management efforts. Business owners who proactively address risk, control losses and manage exposures will be adequately prepared for changes in the market and will get the most out of each insurance dollar spent.